| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|--|-------------------------------|----------------|--|--|
| Interview Summary | 10/733,464 | HATTORI ET AL. | | |
| interview Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| | Edward Pipala | 3663 | | |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): | | | | |
| (1) Edward Pipala. | (3) | | | |
| (2) <u>John A. Radi Reg. No. 59,345</u> . | (4) | | | |
| Date of Interview: <u>12 December 2007</u> . | | | | |
| Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☑ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant | 2)⊠ applicant's representativ | e] | | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: | e)⊠ No. | • | | |
| Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,3-6,16 and 18</u> . | | | | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Butsuen et al.</u> . | | | | |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. | g) was not reached. h) | N/A. | | |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: | | | | |

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|--------------------------------|---|--|
| Interview Summary | 10/733,464 | HATTORI ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Edward Pipala | 3663 | |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): | | | |
| (1) <u>Edward Pipala</u> . | (3) | | |
| (2) <u>John A. Radi Reg. No. 59,345</u> . | (4) | | |
| Date of Interview: <u>12 December 2007</u> . | | | |
| Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2 | 2)⊠ applicant's representative | ·] | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: | e)⊠ No. | | |
| Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,3-6,16 and 18</u> . | • | | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Butsuen et al.</u> | | | |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g |)∏ was not reached. h)∏ N | I/A. | |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: that Butsuen et al. does not teach first determining a base value of a curve's radius based on actual vehicle speed and yaw rate (but not steering angle), and then determines a correction amount for the base value based on steering angle to further estimate the curve's radius by correcting the base value with the amount of the correction. | | | |
| (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached | opy of the amendments that w | reed would render the claims yould render the claims | |
| THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. | | | |
| | | | |
| Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action. | Examiner's sign | Julu ature, if required | |